

PHYSIOTHERAPY AND OCCUPATIONAL NHS Foundation Trust THERAPY HAND ASSESSMENT

Time of assessment: 4pm

Diagnosis: R Hand dominance

Observation

(deformity, oedema, nails, pain, tenderness)

R – no redness or heat, no tenderness in wrist or across MCP's, PIP's. Evidence of mild Dupytrens under D2 to D3.

L - no redness or heat, no tenderness in wrist or across MCP's, PIP's. Evidence of mild to semi - moderate Dupytrens mostly under D4 with softened cord in first segment of D4

Reason for assessment:

to measure progress from original assessment.

| | | Right | Left | Comment |
|-------|------------------|-------|------|---------|
| Wrist | Supination | full | full | |
| | Pronation | full | full | |
| | Flexion | 60 | 60 | |
| | Extension | 66 | 66 | |
| | Ulnar deviation | None | none | |
| | Radial deviation | None | none | |
| MCP | Flexion | 88 | 92 | |
| | Extension | -4 | 0 | |
| ull | | Right | Left | Comment |
| PIP | Flexion | 94 | 74 | |
| | Extension | 0 | -2 | |
| DIP | Flexion | 76 | 80 | |
| | Extension | 0 | -6 | |
| Thum | b ROM | | | |
| | | | | |

| Patient Name: Date: | Date of birth: Time: | NHS Number: | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Opposition Kapanji | 10 | 10 | | |
| Span - measure | 7.8cm across palmer crease 4.2cm wrist | 7.8cm across palmer crease 4.2cm wrist | | |
| Grip to proximal palmer crease | | | | |
| Grip strength | kgN | kgN | | |
| Pinch grip Strength | KgN | KgN | | |
| Tripod grip | | | | |

MCP=metacarpal phalangeal joint, PIP=proximal interphalangeal joint, DIP-distal interphalangeal joint

The following questions refer to your **right** hand/wrist

| | Very good | Good | Fair | Poor | Very Poor |
|--|-----------|------|------|------|-----------|
| 1. Overall, how well did your right hand work | ? 1 | 2x | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2. How well did your right did fingers move? | 1x | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3. How well did your right wrist move? | 1x | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4. How was the strength in your right hand? | 1x | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5. How was the sensation (feeling) in your right hand? 1x | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

The following questions refer to your left hand/wrist

| 6. Overall, how well did your left hand work? | Very good 1 | Good 2x | | Poor 4 | Very Poor 5 |
|--|----------------|------------|---|-----------|----------------|
| 7. How well did your left fingers move? | 1 | 2x | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 8. How well did your left wrist move? | 1x | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 9. How was the strength in your left hand? | 1 | 2x | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10. How was the sensation (feeling) in your lef | t hand? 1 | 2x | 3 | 4 | 5 |

SARAH Score - 6/25 - R, 9/25 - L Overall 15/50

Clinical Notes:

The assessment was done 1/9/2022 as a baseline assessment of each hand. Both hands were re-assessed approximately 6 weeks later 4/10/22 post therapy intervention using the deep oscillation therapy on a machine manufactured by Physiopod

Signed:

Printed:

Date of birth: Time:

Visually both hands have improved MCP extension which is allowing both hands to lie flat on their palmer surface. The Dupytrens was quite marked on assessment 1/9/22 under the second and third digit and was pulling the fingers into pseudo ulna drift. On assessment today, the Dupytrens is reduced in appearance is lies more flat. The fingers now are in proper alignment (pseudo ulna drift reduced).

Extensor lag at MCP's of both hands has improved and now both hands can achieve 0 – neutral extension at the MCP's.

Palmer circumference has increased – most likely due to both hands having a more flatter presentation rather then the concave shape that they initially showed.

There is a large improvement on the SARAH hand assessment from an overall score of 29 down to 15 out of 50. This represents that the client subjectively feels that their hands function and perform much better than they did pre – therapy.

Elisabeth Marriott, Senior Occupational Therapist in Rheumatology